

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

NREL-96-48

08/937,721
APPLICATION NO.

09/25/97

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

MM31/1015

KEN RICHARDSON
ASST CHIEF PATENT COUNSEL
NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY
1617 COLE BOULEVARD
GOLDEN CO 80401

MULPURI,S					
EXAMINER					
2812					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	T				
Office Action Commons	Application No.	O. Applicant(s) B772/ GESSERT Group Art Unit MULPUR! 2212			
Office Action Summary	Examiner S-M	LPURI	Group Art Unit 2.2/2		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	•			dress—	
Period for Response					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	<u></u> монт	TH(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, b 	a response within the soult, expire SIX (6) MON	tatutory minimum of t	thirty (30) days will be co	onsidered timely.	
Status ,	,				
Aesponsive to communication(s) filed on 9/2	5/97-				
☐ This action is FINAL.	(•		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	or formal matters, p C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	prosecution as to	the merits is close	e d in	
Disposition of Claims					
E/Claim(s)		is/are	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)			_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s) is/are allowed					
Defaim(s)			_ is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)					
Application Papers			ement.		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review PTO-948				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			ed.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected		• •			
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				•	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. 	-	, , , ,			
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Inter 					
*Certified copies not received:		 -	·		
Attachment(s)					
Unformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s). <u>2</u> .	☐ Interview Sum	mary, PTO-413		
			Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Oth			• •		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/82710

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No._____

Application/Control Number: 08/937721

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

1.Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not understood what is meant by aperture of about 3 cm. Is it aperture diameter or radius or length or width. Clarification is requested.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art in combination with Schroen et al..

Admitted Prior Art discloses a method of making CDS/CdTe device. But does not discloses exposing the p-type CdTe to the Ar ions or atoms to reduce contact resistance. Schroen et al discloses evacuating residual gas to pressure of 5 X 10⁻⁷ Torr, providing Ar gas and creating Ar ion beam using glow discharge at 1000 volts and then exposing Ar ions to the doped semiconductor substrate and then forming ohmic contact. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to expose Ar ions to surface of CdTe to obtain low ohmic contact

Application/Control Number: 08/937721

Art Unit: 2812

resistance. The mean free path in the invention of Schroen et al would have been same as claimed mean free path because the experimental conditions in Schroen et al are substantially same as instantly claimed conditions.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art in combination with Schroen et al as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Lee et al..

Neither admitted Prior Art nor Schroen et al disclose an aperture dimension. Lee et al disclose an aperture diameter of Ar plasma is 3 cm(see col.3, lines 35-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use chamber having large aperture of 3 cm in the invention of Schroen et al.

because aperture size suitable for the ion etching ion cleaning on the surface of the substrate.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art in combination with Schroen et al. as applied to claim s 1-4 above, and further in view of Ebe et al.

Neither Admitted Prior art nor Schroen et al disclose using angle implantation. Ebe et al disclose using exposing Ar ions at an angle of 45 degrees to substrate(see fig.4, col. 5, 60-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use angle implantation in the invention of Schroen et al because Ebe et al teaches angle implantation is suitable for finally making integrated circuits.

Application/Control Number: 08/937721

Art Unit: 2812

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Morita disclose angle implantation of Ar ions. Wotherspoon teaches etching of CdTe layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mulpuri whose telephone number is (703) 305-5184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

S. M

10/12/98

S. Mulpuri

Patent examiner

Technology center 2800